NO. 141 Or	iginal
In The	
SUPREME COURT OF TH	E UNITED STATES
STATE OF	TEXAS
v.	
STATE OF NEW M	
STATE OF CC	LORADO
TRANSCRIPT OF J	ULY 27, 2021, REMOTE
HEARING BEFORE HONORABLE MICHA	EL A. MELLOY, SPECIAL
MASTER, UNITED STATES CIRCUIT	
AVENUE, SE, CEDAR RAPIDS, IOWA	52401, beginning at
2:01 p.m.	

1	REMOTE APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS:
4	Mr. Stuart L. Somach Ms. Theresa C. Barfield
5	Mr. Robert B. Hoffman Mr. Richard S. Deitchman
6	SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000
7	Sacramento, California 95814 (916) 446-7979
8	ssomach@somachlaw.com tbarfield@somachlaw.com
9	rhoffman@somachlaw.com rdeitchman@somachlaw.com
10	-and-
11	Ms. Sarah A. Klahn
	SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN
12	2701 Lawrence Street, Suite 113
	Denver, Colorado 80205
13	(720) 279-7868
	sklahn@somachlaw.com
14	
15	FOR THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO:
16	Mr. Jeffrey Wechsler
	MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS
17	325 Paseo De Peralta
	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
18	(505) 986-2637
1.0	jwechsler@montand.com
19	J
20	-and-
20	Ma Ligo M. Thompson
21	Ms. Lisa M. Thompson Mr. Michael A. Kopp
21	TROUT RALEY
22	1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 1600
	Denver, Colorado 80203
23	(303) 861-1963
	lthompson@troutlaw.com
24	mkopp@troutlaw.com
25	-and-

```
1
         Mr. Luis Robles
         Ms. Susan Barela
 2
         ROBLES, RAEL & ANAYA, P.C.
         500 Marquette Avenue NW, Suite 700
 3
         Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
         (505) 242-2228
 4
         luis@roblesrael.com
         susan@roblesrael.com
 5
         -and-
 6
         Ms. Cholla Khoury
 7
         Mr. Zachary E. Ogaz
         NEW MEXICO ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
 8
         Post Office Drawer 1508
         Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
 9
         (505) 329-4672
         ckhoury@nmag.gov
10
         zogaz@nmag.gov
11
     FOR THE STATE OF COLORADO:
12
         Mr. Chad Wallace
13
         Mr. Preston V. Hartman
         COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LAW
14
         1300 Broadway, 7th Floor
         Denver, Colorado 80203
15
         (720) 508-6281
         chad.wallace@coag.gov
16
         preston.hartman@coag.gov
17
     FOR THE UNITED STATES:
18
         Mr. James J. Dubois
19
         U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
         999 18th Street, Suite 370
20
         Denver, Colorado 80202
         (303) 844-1375
21
         james.dubois@usdoj.gov
22
         -and-
23
24
25
```

```
1
         Ms. Judith E. Coleman
         Ms. Jennifer A. Najjar
 2
         U.S. Department of Justice
         Post Office Box 7611
 3
         Washington, DC 20044
         (202) 514 - 3553
 4
         judith.coleman@usdoj.gov
         jennifer.najjar@usdoj.gov
 5
 6
     FOR THE EL PASO COUNTY WATER AND IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT
     NO. 1:
 7
         Ms. Maria O'Brien
 8
         MODRALL SPERLING ROEHL HARRIS & SISK, P.A.
         500 Fourth Street N.W.
 9
         Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103
         (505) 848-1800
10
         mobrien@modrall.com
11
         -and-
12
         Mr. Renea Hicks
         LAW OFFICE OF MAX RENEA HICKS
13
         Post Office Box 303187
         Austin, Texas 78703
14
         (512) 480-8231
         rhicks@renea-hicks.com
15
16
     FOR THE ELEPHANT BUTTE IRRIGATION DISTRICT:
17
         Ms. Samantha R. Barncastle
         BARNCASTLE LAW FIRM, LLC
18
         1100 South Main, Suite 20
         Las Cruces, New Mexico 88005
19
         (575) 636-2377
         samantha@h2o-legal.com
20
21
     FOR THE ALBUQUERQUE BERNALILLO COUNTY WATER UTILITY
     AUTHORITY:
22
         Mr. James C. Brockmann
23
         STEIN & BROCKMANN, P.A.
         Post Office Box 2067
24
         Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
         (505) 983-3880
25
         jcbrockmann@newmexicowaterlaw.com
```

```
1
     FOR THE CITY OF EL PASO:
 2
         Mr. Douglas G. Caroom
         BICKERSTAFF HEATH DELGADO ACOSTA, LLP
 3
         3711 S. MoPac Expressway Building One, Suite 300
         Austin, Texas 78746
 4
         (512) 472-8021
         dcaroom@bickerstaff.com
 5
 6
     FOR THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES:
 7
         Mr. Jay F. Stein
         STEIN & BROCKMAN, P.A.
 8
         Post Office Box 2067
         Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
 9
         (505) 983-3880
         jfstein@newmexicowaterlaw.com
10
11
     FOR THE NEW MEXICO PECAN GROWERS:
12
         Ms. Tessa T. Davidson
         DAVIDSON LAW FIRM, LLC
13
         4206 Corrales Road
         Post Office Box 2240
14
         Corrales, New Mexico 87048
         (505) 792-3636
15
         ttd@tessadavidson.com
16
     FOR THE NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY:
17
         Mr. John W. Utton
18
         UTTON & KERY, P.A.
         Post Office Box 2386
19
         Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
         (505) 699-1445
20
         john@uttonkery.com
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	FOR THE SOUTHERN RIO GRANDE DIVERSIFIED CROP FARMERS
	ASSOCIATION:
2	
	Mr. A.J. Olsen
3	HENNIGHAUSEN OLSEN & MCREA
	604 North Richardson Avenue
4	Roswell, New Mexico 88202
	(575) 624-2463
5	ajolsen@h2olawyers.com
6	
7	COURT REPORTER:
8	Ms. Heather L. Garza
0	WORLDWIDE COURT REPORTERS
9	3000 Weslayan Street, Suite 235
10	Houston, Texas 77027
10	(800) 745-1101 heather_garza@ymail.com
11	neather_garzawymaii.com
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 JUDGE MELLOY: This is Judge Melloy. 2 Should we get started? Let me start by indicating 3 this is the matter of United States Supreme Court 4 Original No. 141, State of Texas versus State of New 5 Mexico, the State of Colorado, United States of 6 America as intervenor. Let's start with appearances. 7 For Texas, Mr. Somach, are you on? 8 Yes, I'm on. MR. SOMACH: This is 9 Stuart Somach for the State of Texas, and with me are 10 Theresa Barfield, Sarah Klahn, Rich Deitchman, and I 11 believe Robert Hoffman. 12 JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. And then for New 13 Mexico? 14 MR. WECHSLER: Good afternoon, Your 15 Jeff Wechsler from Montgomery & Andrews. 16 also have Cholla Khoury and Zach Ogaz from New Mexico 17 attorney general's office; Lisa Thompson and Michael 18 Kopp from Trout Raley; Luis Robles and Susan Barela 19 from Robles Rael & Anaya; Corrine Atton from Draper & 20 Draper; Shelly Dalrymple from the Interstate Stream 21 Commission; and April Ferguson from our office. 22 JUDGE MELLOY: Colorado? Mr. Wallace? 23 MR. WALLACE: Good afternoon, Your 2.4 Honor. This is Chad Wallace with the State of 25 Colorado. With us this afternoon are Preston Hartman,

1 Danny Rheiner, and Scott Steinbrecher, also from the 2 AG's office. 3 JUDGE MELLOY: Before I turn to the 4 United States, if you're not speaking, I'd ask if 5 you'd put your microphone on mute. We seem to be getting some feedback, and -- so thank you. 6 7 For the United States, Mr. Dubois, are 8 you there? 9 MR. DUBOIS: Yes, I am. This is Jim 10 Dubois for the United States, Your Honor. Good 11 afternoon. Also on the line are Jennifer Najjar and 12 Judy Coleman from Justice, and Amber Engelke and Seth 13 Allison and a couple of our paralegals who are going 14 to be involved in the case. 15 JUDGE MELLOY: Albuquerque Bernalillo 16 County Water Utility Authority? 17 MR. BROCKMANN: Yes, Your Honor. This 18 is Jim Brockmann for the Water Authority. 19 JUDGE MELLOY: City of El Paso? 20 MR. CAROOM: Doug Caroom, Your Honor, 21 for the City of El Paso. 22 JUDGE MELLOY: City of Las Cruces? 23 MR. STEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor, 2.4 this is Jay Stein for the City of Las Cruces, expected 25 to be joined by Adrienne Widmer, the assistant

1	director to Las Cruces.
2	JUDGE MELLOY: El Paso Water Improvement
3	District No. 1?
4	MS. O'BRIEN: Good afternoon, Your
5	Honor. This is Maria O'Brien for El Paso County Water
6	Improvement District No. 1, and Renea Hicks is also on
7	today.
8	JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Elephant Butte
9	Irrigation District?
10	MS. BARNCASTLE: Good afternoon, Your
11	Honor. Samantha Barncastle for the Elephant Butte
12	Irrigation District, and with me today is Gary
13	Esslinger, the treasurer/manager of the district.
14	JUDGE MELLOY: Hudspeth County
15	Conservation Reclamation District No. 1? No one on?
16	Mr. Miller?
17	(No response.)
18	JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. New Mexico pecan
19	growers?
20	MS. DAVIDSON: Good day, Your Honor.
21	Tessa Davidson on behalf of New Mexico pecan growers.
22	JUDGE MELLOY: And Mr. Utton on for New
23	Mexico State University?
24	MR. UTTON: Yes, Your Honor. Good
25	afternoon. Thank you.

JUDGE MELLOY: Southern Rio Grande

Diversified Crop Farmers Association, anyone on?

MR. OLSEN: Yes. Good afternoon, Your

Honor. A.J. Olsen on behalf of the Diversified Crop

Farmers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

JUDGE MELLOY: Anyone I've missed?

(No response.)

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Thank you, Well, we had the demonstration this everyone. afternoon. Both Ms. Ferguson and Mr. Tiedemann --Ms. Ferguson from New Mexico and Mr. Tiedemann from Texas were here. They -- from their perspective, I think everything went as well as they could expect it Their systems worked, tied into our system district court. They actually both mentioned that it was excellent technology, better than most courthouses. I guess I'd ask what the response -what the feeling is among the people who were on the receiving end. You know, I do recognize that there is a problem with the exhibits, and the exhibits were very clear in courtroom, on the courtroom monitors and on the big overhead we had that's available for people in the courtroom to see. So we're assuming that the fault lies somewhere between the link between the courtroom and the Zoom technology. Hopefully we can

I don't

1 figure out a way to increase the quality of the 2 exhibits through the -- the Zoom connection, and 3 they're going to be working on that after we are done 4 here today to see what can be done about that. But it 5 may be at the end of the day that's as good as it's 6 going to get and the people who are watching remotely 7 are going to have to refer to their exhibits that have 8 been put on some type of shared drive. But having 9 said that, any other reactions or comments? 10 (No response.) 11 JUDGE MELLOY: Hearing none, I guess 12 everybody --13 MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, this is Chad 14 Wallace, and I just had a question regarding the Chat 15 I was uncertain how it was supposed to be 16 operated. I did not see any of the other chats. 17 didn't know if that is the way that you had designed 18 it so it was just going through the Worldwide 19 technician or not. I just wanted to clear that up. 20 JUDGE MELLOY: As I understand it, the 21 way the Chat function works is -- is that the people 22 who are participants, which would be basically anyone

in the courtroom, will see the Chat, but the -- the

people who are viewing remotely who do not have the

interactive capability do not see the chats.

23

2.4

1 know if that's a function that can be turned on and 2 off for the -- for the remote participants or not, but 3 -- but anybody who is -- who is a participant in the 4 courtroom who would be expected to be able to 5 participate through the microphones in the courtroom 6 will see the -- will see the chats. Any other 7 questions or comments? I was -- was hoping to show 8 both Ms. Ferguson and Mr. Tiedemann the spaces that we 9 had tentatively talked about internally for -- for 10 breakout rooms for the various parties. 11 Unfortunately, we still don't have any kind of -- we 12 still don't have any clear directive from the United 13 States Attorney's Office as to what the COVID 14 protocols are going to be. I'm still assuming that, 15 at a minimum, United States will work out of the U.S. 16 Attorney's Office, and maybe Texas, as well, if you 17 want to co-locate. But I -- I haven't been able to 18 confirm that yet so until we know the status of -- of 19 those accommodations, I can't make any kind of final 20 decision about where we're going to put everybody. 21 But if it would come to pass that we don't have room 22 in the U.S. Attorney's Office, we do have other spaces 23 so we will be able to accommodate everyone. 2.4 Mr. Tiedemann was -- started to take a diagram of the 25 -- of the courtroom, and I think he -- he probably

1 finished that, but one of the court employees 2 mentioned that if you want to see a layout of the 3 courtroom, it's on the -- the Website for the Northern 4 District of Iowa, and if you go to the Website, 5 there's a link under the Website for courtroom 6 If you click on that courtroom technology technology. 7 link, it'll take you to both a picture of each of the 8 courtrooms and then a -- a link there where you can 9 actually then link to the -- a diagram of what the 10 courtroom looks like. So anything else about that? 11 Okay. If not, then we'll move on to other topics. 12 Maybe I'll turn to Mr. Wechsler. Have 13 the details of the site visit been finalized? 14 MR. WECHSLER: Yes, I believe so, Your 15 To my knowledge, there's no lingering details, 16 but I think Ms. Barfield might have some more 17 up-to-date information. 18 Your Honor, I agree -- I MS. BARFIELD: 19 think we have an echoing situation. There we go. 20 Give us one minute. Okay. Is it corrected? 21 Sorry about that, Your Honor. corrected. I agree 22 with Mr. Wechsler. There are no remaining issues of 23 contention or otherwise that need to be resolved for 2.4 the site inspection of the basin tour. 25 JUDGE MELLOY: All right. That echo may

1 have been me. For some reason, when I muted my 2 microphone --3 I do have an issue I'd like MR. SOMACH: 4 to raise. 5 MS. BARFIELD: He's speaking. We can't 6 hear Judge Melloy now. 7 JUDGE MELLOY: Can you hear me now? 8 MR. WECHSLER: Yes, Your Honor, I can 9 hear you. 10 JUDGE MELLOY: All right. All right. 11 may have to go on mute when other people are speaking. 12 I think that echo for some reason was coming through 13 mine. But anyway --14 MR. SOMACH: I -- Your Honor, I did have 15 a site visit-related question. 16 JUDGE MELLOY: Yes. 17 MR. SOMACH: And it may be broader in 18 scope than just a site visit, but I am concerned about 19 what COVID protocols you are contemplating. The site 20 visit will have a lot of people in a small space in 21 terms of transportation, both on the airplane, as well 22 as the -- the vehicles, and, of course, I am -- I must 23 admit, I'm personally concerned, but I'm concerned for 24 the folks that I've got working for me and that -- the

experts and others that will be testifying, generally,

about how the court is going to handle masks, vaccination, how we know whether or not people are unvaccinated, whether there will be any COVID testing required. I mean, I read -- I see the news every day, and I see that things aren't going exactly the way we had anticipated a couple of months ago, but I -- I wasn't sure what you were planning. We do have this -- the -- the site visit coming up at the end of this -- this very next month and then, of course, the trial starting on September 13th.

a topic that I had on my list, and I'll -- I'll jump to it so -- since you brought it up, Mr. Somach. From a -- from the standpoint of our administrative office and the directions that we have received, they basically say follow the CDC guidelines, which mean as a practical matter, as I understand it, anyone who is vaccinated is not required to wear a mask in a courthouse. Anyone who is not vaccinated must wear a mask. We have -- we have been encouraged not to require proof of vaccination. I know that's been somewhat controversial, and a couple courts have tried to do it. Most courts are not requiring proof of vaccination, and they're relying upon an honor system. I do know in -- in e-mail conversation with -- with

1 the judges in Texas that they're very concerned about 2 the COVID situation. Texas is one of the hot spots. 3 Missouri, Texas, and Florida, I think, are the two 4 states -- three states with the -- with the most cases 5 right now, and I -- and I am concerned about the site 6 As a matter of fact, when I -- I may not go on visit. 7 the bus with everybody else, and I think we should 8 have only people who are vaccinated on the bus, and I 9 think I would hope that it would be big enough that 10 there could be some people spread out, but I would 11 only want people who are vaccinated on the bus. 12 many people did -- like, from your -- from your 13 organization or from your perspective, Mr. Somach, how 14 many people are you planning to have on the site 15 visit. 16 MR. SOMACH: Just two people, and both 17 of them are vaccinated. 18 JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. What about New 19 Mexico? 20 MR. WECHSLER: The same, Your Honor. МУ 21 understanding about the vehicle is it's a 15-passenger 22 van. 23 JUDGE MELLOY: And United States? 24 MR. DUBOIS: Sorry about that. I think 25 it'll probably just be me that's going on the tour,

1 and I am vaccinated. We -- I mean, obviously, we will 2 have -- at points, there will be a BOR person sort of 3 doing a commentary like everyone else, but as far as 4 on the tour, I believe it'll just be me. 5 JUDGE MELLOY: And then, Mr. Wallace, 6 are you planning to come? 7 Yeah, it'd just be me, MR. WALLACE: 8 Your Honor. 9 JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. Well, that -- so 10 then we're talking six people in the van if I don't 11 go, and I'll have my law clerk with me. So we either 12 have eight in the van or six in the van, and I'll 13 travel in a car. I haven't decided which way yet. 14 think that should be doable as long as all six -- all 15 -- and I can speak for myself and my law clerk, we're 16 both vaccinated. I think that should cover the tour. 17 At different locations, we will have attorneys who are 18 going to be there with their clients when we go to 19 sites within EBID. I assume Ms. Barncastle will be 20 there, and she'll have her employees there. 21 Similarly, when we're in Reclamation properties and so 22 I'm assuming all that should be outside for the on. 23 most part, and if anybody is not vaccinated, they will 24 have to be masked.

As far as the trial itself is concerned

-- well, let me -- let me just take it one next step. I'm still planning to do the final pretrial conference in El Paso on that Wednesday, although I have to say I'm getting a little more nervous about doing that as I read about the numbers in Texas rising, and I'm going to strongly encourage that we have as few people as possible at that final pretrial conference. really would like to limit it mainly to the parties and would encourage the parties to only bring one or two attorneys. One of the questions that Mr. Wechsler had put on his -- put in his e-mail this morning, and I was going to talk about anyway, is access to the pretrial conference. I don't think realistically I can offer video access. The number of hours that have been put in by the IT staff here in the Northern District of Iowa and Worldwide Reporting is pretty They've spent a lot of time over the last remarkable. month. This has not turned out to be as easy, you know, as those of us who are non-techy, who think you just throw a couple switches and everything magically appears and so it's turned out -- I don't think I can ask the Western District of Texas to go dedicate those kind of resources to set up some kind of Zoom connection, which might not work anyway. But I -- but I don't see any reason why we couldn't have a -- a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

audio hookup, basically it would be a call-in conference call like we used to do with some of our hearings, and I believe we had that ability when we were in Denver. I can't recall now. But I would -- I would anticipate that we should be able to have that capability. I'm a little worried about the trial, but at this point, I don't know that there's much we can say about it other than if you are vaccinated, you don't have to be masked. If you aren't vaccinated, you have to be masked. At least as of right now, I'm not inclined to require proof of vaccination. Does that address your concerns, Mr. Somach?

MR. SOMACH: Well, it -- it addresses them, yes. And I think I agree with you that we have to wait to see what's happening closer to -- to the time of trial, but -- and I'm not sure what else there is that you could say, so I appreciate what you've said, and as long as we can perhaps have another conversation about this, either at the final pretrial or somewhere between the time of trial and just take a look around and see what's happening in the world.

JUDGE MELLOY: Well, and I may set up something the week before the site visit. I want to see what the situation is in Texas before I bring everybody down there, and I certainly don't want to

cancel it, but if -- if -- if there's a serious COVID concern, I don't want to put anybody in -- in risk.

I'm 73 years old. I don't want to be -- I don't want to be on a ventilator either, so it's -- so I -- I think we want to -- I think I'll probably get everybody together the week before just to see for -- for a go/no-go, and we'll probably have other topics to discuss by then, as well.

MR. SOMACH: It's one of the downsides of our -- or at least my being an old man. You worry about these things.

pretrial conference. Couple of things about it. I've already talked about, I don't think there's realistically a chance of having a video link, but I'm hoping to have an audio link, and I'd like to limit it to as few people as possible. I anticipate taking up the motions in limine at the pretrial conference.

Whether we'll be able to conclude all the hearing on motion in limine at that time, I'm not certain. Some of the motions in limine, and I -- I have to confess, I have not studied them in -- in depth yet, but I certainly will before the pretrial conference and any responses to the motions. Some are much more the nature of a Daubert motion, and -- and as to those,

depending upon how the motion ultimately reads and -and the arguments of counsel, we may or may not need to actually take some -- some evidence on that. may need to have a separate Daubert hearing where if -- if -- if an expert has been challenged, they may have to give at least some testimony to establish their -- either establish their ability to testify or to show that the Daubert motion has merit. I expect to discuss both the exhibit and witness list at the final pretrial conference, as well as any stipulations. I don't know if -- if you've talked about stipulations, how many things can be stipulated to, but certainly, I would hope that there would be some facts that can be stipulated to without having to call witnesses. My current anticipation is to be -is to be done by 2:00. If it -- if it goes beyond 2:00, I'll probably suggest that -- that further pretrial conference be held by Zoom conference. is a -- there is a flight out around 4:30, which I'm planning to take, and I'm not -- I'd like to be on so I'm planning to be done by 2:00 so I can get out to the airport by 2:30. So people can make their travel plans if they haven't already accordingly. questions about that or any comments? Going back to the remote trial

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

proceedings, a couple issues about that. I think it was in your e-mail, Mr. Wechsler, you asked about video feeds to experts. I would not have a problem with that. I assume other parties would probably want the same ability. What we will do, however, is -when we went through our sort of run through yesterday in anticipation with the days of the Worldwide folks, they are going to monitor the video feed, and they will have a list of approved attendees and if somebody comes on that is not on the list of approved attendees, they will be kicked off basically. Now, if it's somebody who for some reason we make a mistake or it's somebody who should be added, we can let them back in, but at least the initial -- there will be an initial screen by Worldwide of who gets on and if they -- and if there aren't -- if there are people not on the attendee list, they'll be kicked off. But I would anticipate we would allow experts to participate. Let's see. What else here? Then let's talk about -- oh, the other thing I want the talk about before I get into what Mr. Wechsler had in his e-mail, has there been any further discussion or agreement about making exhibits available to the amici who may be participating

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

remotely on a share drive so that if the quality isn't

great, they'll at least have it either available to pull up on a screen, a second screen, or even print off a copy of the exhibit if they care to? Anybody want to speak to that?

MR. WECHSLER: Well, Your Honor, we -we haven't discussed it amongst the parties further.

I don't -- I think that my understanding is that all
the parties are comfortable putting the exhibits on a
share file folder and sharing that with all of the
amici.

MR. SOMACH: We have no problem doing that either. That sounds appropriate to me.

obviate some of the issues with if the exhibits aren't as clear as we would like them to be, but -- all right. Then let me turn to what Mr. Wechsler raises in his e-mail this morning. Well, let me just ask you about your Point No. 2, anticipated order of witnesses, Mr. Wechsler. As I understand it, you'd like some preview of -- of the order in which Texas will be calling its witnesses, and I presume you would then reciprocate and give an order of how you're going to call your witnesses; is that basically what you're asking for?

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, that's right, Your

1 And I don't know that we need an order from 2 you either. We raised this with the parties. 3 haven't had a fair chance to really evaluate it, but I 4 thought it would just be helpful to talk today about 5 it because I didn't know when we would have a next 6 chance to talk. And the idea is basically, you know, 7 we're in the process right now of -- of scheduling, 8 trying to figure out when people have to be in Iowa, 9 trying to figure out hotel rooms and things like that, 10 and for scheduling purposes, it would just be helpful 11 to have an overall sense of when witnesses were coming 12 up so we knew, you know, sort of a general sense of 13 the order. And you're correct, we're -- we have 14 volunteered to share that information with the other 15 parties, along with we've offered to share, at least a 16 high-level estimate of how much time we anticipate for 17 -- for direct and cross-examination. I don't -- I'm 18 not thinking about this as something that would be 19 locked in for the parties. I understand that 20 flexibility is necessary and that things change as 21 trial progresses. It's just purely for planning 22 purposes. 23 JUDGE MELLOY: How much -- how much lead 24 time are you looking to get? 25 MR. WECHSLER: My proposal to the other

parties was really just to share that high-level list in the middle of August, August 18th, when we -- I think there's another deadline that occurs that day.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SOMACH: Your Honor, this is Stuart Somach. We don't have any objection to that with the understanding that these are subject to change. been spending a lot of time talking about the very issue of ordering our witnesses as well as how long each witness will take, and I suspect we'll be -we'll be doing that more or less right up until the end, but by the 18th, we'll have certainly some idea. I don't mind sharing it at all. I -- you know, given what we talked about in terms of COVID, given what we've talked about in terms of the different logistics that are involved here, I think that that -- anything we can do to help limit the number of people that have to be moving back and forth at any one time is a good idea. So we'll be more than happy to do that with the understanding that we have the ability to modify that as we get closer to trial.

JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Well, I'll leave that to the parties' discussion, and if you need intervention, I'll assume somebody will bring it to my attention. I guess the -- the bigger issue is the one that you raise in your Point No. 5, Mr. Wechsler, and

since I've just received this, this morning, I haven't had a chance to study it completely, but I understand there seems to be two major objections to the way Texas has disclosed its exhibits. The one I understand, which is that Texas has indicated on a proposed exhibit list any and all exhibits marked during the course of a deposition of Witness X, and I -- and I did a quick tally. I think that's about 185 depositions, which I certainly understand what you're saying is that doesn't tell you anything about what exhibits are actually going to be used at trial. what is the -- what is the other -- what is the other exhibit -- other exhibit issue that you have. MR. WECHSLER: That was the only issue,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor. That's No. 5. We have been working with Texas over the previous weeks. No. 4 was we had asked for an additional week to complete any authenticity objections on Texas' exhibit, and my understanding is they have agreed to that. I'm not sure I saw a position from the United States or -- or Colorado. I probably should have done a better job of reflecting that in my e-mail to you.

JUDGE MELLOY: Does United States and Colorado have any objection?

MR. DUBOIS: We don't care, Your Honor.

1 JUDGE MELLOY: I assume you've got the 2 same position, Mr. Wallace? 3 MR. WALLACE: Yeah, no objection. 4 JUDGE MELLOY: And the -- the other 5 references to the -- to the difficulty you -- you and 6 your staff are having identifying the exact exhibits, 7 that's been resolved, I take it? 8 MR. WECHSLER: Yes, Your Honor. 9 -- so when we did receive the most recent CSV file 10 from Texas, which I believe was July 21st, that 11 contains 111 -- 811 exhibits that we have identified. 12 We appreciate Texas' help in -- in finding all of 13 those, and so we're -- we're prepared and are working 14 on now, you know, reviewing, determining whether 15 there's objection preparing for trial on those 16 exhibits. And as you indicate, our concern is the 17 large, catch-all categories, which doesn't allow us to 18 understand what the documents are, doesn't allow us to 19 help prepare our witnesses, doesn't allow us to 20 conduct authenticity objections because there's 21 multiple copies of various things and so those are the 22 concerns that I think you correctly articulated. 23 JUDGE MELLOY: So what's your position 24 on this, Mr. Somach? 25 MR. SOMACH: Well, you know, the first

thing is I only got this when you got it, and it's lengthy. But in terms of -- and so that's the first thing I want to say is, you know, I -- this is the first time I've seen this so I'm kind of plowing through it to try to understand what Mr. Wechsler's issues and problems are. There's a lot in the -- the recitation of procedural or facts or whatever you want to call it that we simply disagree with, but I don't want to get into -- to that certainly here, although I may, just to make sure the record is reflected accurately, pen a response to so you have the total picture. But with respect to the -- this fundamental issue, which -- and I was trying to figure out exactly what the real question or issue was, but we will produce a final list, which doesn't have that general category of all exhibits in support of specific depo language. We'll -- we'll take that off. We'll -we'll do that. You know, our final production isn't due until August 18th, and -- and we will refine all of this, but I -- I don't want to argue about that. We will take it off, and we will -- we will provide them with a refined list so that they can -- I don't want to prejudice them in any way, so they can do what needs to be done.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

will resolve that issue then.

One other item I have on my agenda for today is New Mexico has filed a motion to file one of its motions in limine and supporting documents under seal. Let me see if I can find that one. But anyway -- I should have had that in front of me. But anyway, is there any objection to filing that under seal?

MR. SOMACH: I could clarify something at this point. This was on my list of things to mention here because I didn't -- it is -- it is not, from our perspective, necessary for anything to be filed under seal. There is a protective order covering this universe of documents, but -- but we're willing to -- to do whatever needs to be done to have that protective order lifted, and that way nothing needs to be filed under seal. It can be just simply filed and distributed to the service list. So I'm not sure what procedure -- I'd like to make it as painless as possible, but whatever procedure the Court would like us to follow, we'll follow it to do that.

JUDGE MELLOY: Well, I don't think we need to do much more than, as I understand the protective order as to these documents is basically for the protection of Texas, and so if you're waiving the protective order as to these documents, I'll just

1 include that in the order that comes out of this 2 hearing. 3 MR. SOMACH: Yes. We are -- we are 4 doing that. We are waiving our right to the 5 protective order and -- and all of this stuff can be 6 filed. 7 JUDGE MELLOY: Do you have anything you 8 want to say about that, Mr. Wechsler? I assume you 9 don't object. 10 MR. WECHSLER: No objection, Your Honor. 11 As soon as we get that clarification or order from 12 you, we'll go ahead and file the motion in the normal 13 course. 14 JUDGE MELLOY: Anybody else want to be 15 heard on that issue? 16 (No response.) 17 JUDGE MELLOY: All right. If not, that 18 pretty well covers the things that I wanted to talk 19 about. One thing, Mr. Wechsler -- or Ms. Barncastle, 20 I'm not sure who's in charge, but I would like to see 21 the final itinerary for the tour. 22 MR. WECHSLER: Yes, Your Honor. I --23 it's been Ms. Barfield and Ms. Dalrymple from our team 2.4 between Texas and New Mexico have been working on all 25 of those details, and we'll prepare a final itinerary

1	for you and get that filed as soon as we can.
2	JUDGE MELLOY: Okay. And as far as the
3	logistics are concerned, I am planning to fly in and
4	out of El Paso and probably just stay in El Paso. I
5	I don't want to move hotel rooms for one night
6	particularly so and as I understand it, at the end
7	of each day will be no more than 45 or 50 minutes from
8	from El Paso so and one day, we'll be a lot
9	closer. So any other questions about that? And like
10	I say, I'll I think I will plan to set something up
11	for the Wednesday or Thursday before the site visit
12	just to see if there's any last-minute glitches or
13	anything we need to talk about, including COVID issues
14	that might have arisen in the meantime.
15	If there's nothing else, that that
16	completes my agenda. Anybody else have anything they
17	want to talk about?
18	(No response.)
19	JUDGE MELLOY: All right. Then if not,
20	we'll be adjourned. Thank you, everyone.
21	(The proceedings adjourned at 2:39 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, HEATHER L. GARZA, a Certified 4 Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, do 5 hereby certify that the facts as stated by me in the 6 caption hereto are true; that the foregoing pages 7 comprise a true, complete and correct transcript of 8 the proceedings had at the time of the status hearing. 9 I further certify that I am not, in any 10 capacity, a regular employee of any of the parties in 11 whose behalf this status hearing is taken, nor in the 12 regular employ of any of the attorneys; and I certify 13 that I am not interested in the cause, nor of kin or 14 counsel to any of the parties. 15 16 GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF 17 on this, the 7th day of September, 2021. 18 19 HEATHER L. GARZA, CSR, RPR, CRR 2.0 Certification No.: 8262 Expiration Date: 04-30-22 21 22 23 Worldwide Court Reporters, Inc. Firm Registration No. 223 24 3000 Weslayan, Suite 235

Houston, TX 77027

800-745-1101

	A	-44	h -44 10 16	20.16
A	America 7:6	attendees 22:9	better 10:16	category 28:16
A.J 6:2 10:4	amici 22:24	22:11	26:21	cause 32:13
ability 19:3 21:7	23:10	attention 25:24	beyond 21:16	CDC 15:16
22:5 25:19	Anaya 3:2 7:19	Atton 7:19	BICKERSTA	CEDAR 1:16
able 12:4,17,23	and- 2:10,19,25	attorney 3:7	5:2	certain 20:20
19:5 20:19	3:5,22 4:11	7:17	big 10:22 16:9	certainly 19:25
access 18:12,14	Andrews 2:16	Attorney's	bigger 25:24	20:23 21:13
accommodate	7:15	12:13,16,22	BOR 17:2	25:11 26:9
12:23	anticipate 19:5	attorneys 17:17	Box 4:2,13,23	28:9
accommodati	20:17 22:18	18:10 32:12	5:8,13,18	CERTIFICATE
12:19	24:16	audio 19:1 20:16	breakout 12:10	32:1
accurately 28:11	anticipated 15:6	August 25:2,2	bring 18:9 19:24	Certification
ACOSTA 5:2	23:18	28:19	25:23	32:20
added 22:13	anticipation	Austin 4:13 5:3	broader 14:17	Certified 32:3
additional 26:17	21:15 22:7	authenticity	Broadway 3:14	certify 32:5,9,12
address 19:12	anybody 12:3	26:17 27:20	BROCKMAN	Chad 3:12 7:24
addresses 19:13	17:23 20:2	Authority 4:21	5:7	11:13
adjourned 31:20	23:3 30:14	8:16,18	Brockmann	chad.wallace
31:21	31:16	available 10:22	4:22,23 8:17	3:15
administrative	anyway 14:13	22:24 23:1	8:18	challenged 21:5
15:14	18:12,24 29:5	Avenue 1:16 3:2	brought 15:13	chance 20:15
admit 14:23	29:6	6:3	Building 5:3	24:3,6 26:2
Adrienne 8:25	appearances 7:6		bus 16:7,8,11	change 24:20
afternoon 7:14	appears 18:21	B	Butte 4:16 9:8	25:6
7:23,25 8:11	appreciate	B 2:4	9:11	charge 30:20
8:23 9:4,10,25	19:17 27:12	back 21:25		Chat 11:14,21
10:3,10	appropriate	22:14 25:17	C	11:23
AG's 8:2	23:12	Barela 3:1 7:18	C 2:1,4 4:22	chats 11:16,25
agenda 29:2	approved 22:9	Barfield 2:4	California 2:6	12:6
31:16	22:10	7:10 13:16,18	call 19:2 21:15	Cholla 3:6 7:16
ago 15:6	April 7:21	14:5 30:23	23:23 28:8	CIRCUIT 1:15
agree 13:18,21	argue 28:20	Barncastle 4:17	call-in 19:1	City 5:1,6 8:19
19:14	arguments 21:2	4:17 9:10,11	calling 23:21	8:21,22,24
agreed 26:19	arisen 31:14	17:19 30:19	cancel 20:1	ckhoury@nm
agreement 22:23	articulated	basically 11:22	capability 11:25	3:9
ahead 30:12	27:22	15:16 19:1	19:6	clarification
airplane 14:21	asked 22:2 26:16	22:11 23:23	capacity 32:10	30:11
airport 21:22	asking 23:24	24:6 29:23	Capitol 2:6	clarify 29:8
ajolsen@h2ol	assistant 8:25	basin 13:24	caption 32:6	clear 10:21
6:5	Association 6:1	beginning 1:16	car 17:13	11:19 12:12
	10:2	behalf 9:21 10:4	care 23:3 26:25	23:15
Albuquerque	assume 17:19	32:11	Caroom 5:2	clerk 17:11,15
3:3 4:9,21 8:15	22:4 25:23	believe 7:11	8:20,20	click 13:6
Allison 8:13	27:1 30:8	13:14 17:4	case 8:14	clients 17:18
allow 22:18	assuming 10:23	19:3 27:10	cases 16:4	closer 19:15
27:17,18,19	12:14 17:22	Bernalillo 4:21	catch-all 27:17	25:20 31:9
Amber 8:12	attendee 22:17	8:15	categories 27:17	co-locate 12:17
	attenuet 22.17		2	co-iocate 12.17

Coleman 4:1	14:19	25:13 31:13	details 13:13,15	
8:12	contention	Crop 6:1 10:2,4	30:25	-
Colorado 1:11	13:23	cross-examina	determining	E 2:1,1,1,1 3:7
2:12,22 3:11	controversial	24:17	27:14	4:1
3:13,14,20 7:5	15:22	CRR 32:19	diagram 12:24	e-mail 15:25
7:22,25 26:20	conversation	Cruces 4:18 5:6	13:9	18:11 22:2,22
26:24	15:25 19:19	8:22,24 9:1	different 17:17	23:17 26:22
come 12:21 17:6	copies 27:21	CSR 32:19	25:14	easy 18:18
comes 22:10	copy 23:3	CSV 27:9	difficulty 27:5	EBID 17:19
30:1	Corrales 5:13,14	current 21:15	direct 24:17	echo 13:25
comfortable	correct 24:13	current 21.13	directions 15:15	14:12
23:8	32:7	D	directions 13.13	echoing 13:19
coming 14:12	corrected 13:20	Dalrymple 7:20	director 9:1	eight 17:12
15:8 24:11	13:21	30:23	disagree 28:8	either 17:11
commentary	correctly 27:22	Danny 8:1	disclosed 26:4	19:19 20:4
17:3	Corrine 7:19	Date 32:20	discuss 20:8	21:7 23:1,12
comments 11:9	counsel 21:2	Daubert 20:25	21:9	24:2
12:7 21:24	32:14	21:4,8	discussed 23:6	El 4:6 5:1 8:19
Commission	County 4:6,21	Davidson 5:12	discussion 22:23	8:21 9:2,5 18:3
7:21	8:16 9:5,14	5:12 9:20,21	25:22	31:4,4,8
complete 26:17	couple 8:13 15:6	day 9:20 11:5	distributed	Elephant 4:16
32:7	15:22 18:20	15:4 25:3 31:7	29:17	9:8,11
completely 26:2	20:13 22:1	31:8 32:17	district 4:6,16	employ 32:12
completes 31:16	course 14:22	days 22:7	9:3,6,9,12,13	employee 32:10
comprise 32:7	15:9 26:7	DC 4:3	9:15 10:15	employees 13:1
concern 20:2	30:13	dcaroom@bic	13:4 18:16,22	17:20
27:16	court 1:6 6:7,8	5:4	Diversified 6:1	encourage 18:6
concerned 14:18	7:3 10:15 13:1	De 2:17	10:2,4	18:9
14:23,23 16:1	15:1 29:19	deadline 25:3	doable 17:14	encouraged
16:5 17:25	32:23	decided 17:13	documents	15:20
31:3	courthouse	decision 12:20	27:18 29:4,13	Engelke 8:12
concerns 19:12	15:19	dedicate 18:22	29:23,25	Esslinger 9:13
27:22	courthouses	Deitchman 2:5	doing 17:3 18:4	establish 21:6,7
conclude 20:19	10:17	7:10	23:11 25:10	estimate 24:16
conduct 27:20	courtroom	DELGADO 5:2	30:4	evaluate 24:3
conference 18:2	10:21,21,23,25	demonstration	Doug 8:20	everybody 11:12
18:7,13 19:2	11:23 12:4,5	10:9	Douglas 5:2	12:20 16:7
20:13,18,23	12:25 13:3,5,6	Denver 2:12,22	downsides 20:9	19:25 20:6
21:10,18,18	13:10	3:14,20 19:4	Draper 7:19,20	evidence 21:3
confess 20:21	courtrooms 13:8	Department	Drawer 3:8	exact 27:6
confirm 12:18	courts 15:22,23	3:13,19 4:2	drive 11:8 22:25	exactly 15:5
connection 11:2	cover 17:16	depending 21:1	Dubois 3:18 8:7	28:13
18:24	covering 29:13	depo 28:16	8:9,10 16:24	excellent 10:16
Conservation	covers 30:18	deposition 26:7	26:25	exhibit 21:9
9:15	COVID 12:13	depositions 26:9	due 28:19	23:3 26:6,13
contains 27:11	14:19 15:3	depth 20:22	DUNN 2:5,11	26:13,18
contemplating	16:2 20:1	designed 11:17	201112.0,11	exhibits 10:20
Concemplating	10.2 20.1			

				<u> </u>
10:20 11:2,7	finalized 13:13	18:22 30:12	HENNIGHA	indicating 7:2
22:23 23:8,14	find 29:5	go/no-go 20:7	6:3	information
26:4,6,11 27:6	finding 27:12	goes 21:16	hereto 32:6	13:17 24:14
27:11,16 28:16	finished 13:1	going 8:13 11:3	Hicks 4:12,12	initial 22:14,15
expect 10:13	Firm 4:17 5:12	11:6,7,18	9:6	inspection 13:24
21:8	32:23	12:14,20 15:1	high-level 24:16	interactive
expected 8:24	first 27:25 28:2	15:5 16:25	25:1	11:25
12:4	28:4	17:18 18:6,12	Hoffman 2:4	interested 32:13
expert 21:5	flexibility 24:20	21:25 22:8	7:11	internally 12:9
experts 14:25	flight 21:19	23:22 26:11	honor 7:15,24	Interstate 7:20
22:3,18	Floor 3:14	good 7:14,23	8:10,17,20,23	intersenor 7:6
Expiration	Florida 16:3	8:10,23 9:4,10	9:5,11,20,24	intervention
32:20	fly 31:3	9:20,24 10:3	10:4 11:13	25:23
Expressway 5:3	folder 23:9	11:5 25:17	13:15,18,21	involved 8:14
Expressway 3.3	folks 14:24 22:7	Grande 6:1 10:1	14:8,14 15:24	25:15
$\overline{\mathbf{F}}$	follow 15:16	great 23:1	16:20 17:8	Iowa 1:16 13:4
F 5:7	29:20,20	great 25:1 growers 5:11	23:5 24:1 25:4	18:16 24:8
fact 16:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	U		
facts 21:14 28:7	foregoing 32:6 forth 25:17	9:19,21	26:15,25 27:8	Irrigation 4:16 9:9,12
32:5		guess 10:17	30:10,22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
fair 24:3	Fourth 4:8	11:11 25:24	HONORABLE	issue 14:3 25:8
far 17:3,25 31:2	front 29:6	guidelines 15:16	1:15	25:24 26:13,14
Farmers 6:1	function 11:21	H	hookup 19:1	28:13,14 29:1
10:2,5	12:1	HAND 32:16	hope 16:9 21:13	30:15
fault 10:24	fundamental	handle 15:1	hopefully 10:25	issues 13:22 22:1
Fe 2:17 3:8 4:24	28:12	happening	23:13	23:14 28:6
5:8,19	further 21:17	19:15,21	hoping 12:7	31:13
feature 11:15	22:23 23:6		20:16	it'd 17:7
feed 22:8	32:9	happy 25:18 HARRIS 4:8	hot 16:2	it'll 13:7 16:25
feedback 8:6	G		hotel 24:9 31:5	17:4
feeds 22:3	$\frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}5:2}$	Hartman 3:13	hours 18:14	item 29:2
		7:25	Houston 6:9	itinerary 30:21
feeling 10:18	Gary 9:12	hear 14:6,7,9	32:24	30:25
Ferguson 7:21	Garza 6:8 32:3	heard 30:15	Hudspeth 9:14	J
10:10,11 12:8	32:19	hearing 1:15		
figure 11:1 24:8	general 24:12	11:11 20:19	$\frac{I}{I}$	J 3:18
24:9 28:13	28:15	21:4 30:2 32:8	idea 24:6 25:11	James 3:18 4:22
file 23:9 27:9	general's 3:7	32:11	25:18	james.dubois
29:3 30:12	7:17	hearings 19:3	identified 27:11	3:21
filed 29:3,12,16	generally 14:25	HEATH 5:2	identifying 27:6	Jay 5:7 8:24
29:17 30:6	getting 8:6 18:4	Heather 6:8	Improvement	jcbrockmann
31:1	give 13:20 21:6	32:3,19	4:6 9:2,6	4:25
filing 29:7	23:22	heather_garza	inclined 19:11	Jeff 7:15
final 12:19 18:2	given 25:12,13	6:10	include 30:1	Jeffrey 2:16
18:7 19:19	32:16	held 21:18	including 31:13	Jennifer 4:1
20:12 21:10	glitches 31:12	help 25:16 27:12	increase 11:1	8:11
28:15,18 30:21	go 13:4,19 14:11	27:19	indicate 27:16	jennifer.najja
30:25	16:6 17:11,18	helpful 24:4,10	indicated 26:5	4:4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

jfstein@newm	18:19 19:7	LLP 5:2	15:11 16:18,23	18:18
5:9	21:11 24:1,5,6	locations 17:17	17:5,9 19:22	months 15:6
Jim 8:9,18	24:12 25:12	locked 24:19	20:12 23:13	MoPac 5:3
job 26:21	27:14,25 28:3	logistics 25:14	24:23 25:21	morning 18:11
John 5:17	28:18	31:3	26:23 27:1,4	23:17 26:1
john@uttonk	knowledge	long 17:14 19:18	27:23 28:25	motion 20:20,25
5:20	13:15	25:8	29:21 30:7,14	21:1,8 29:3
joined 8:25	Kopp 2:21 7:18	look 19:21	30:17 31:2,19	30:12
Judge 1:15 7:1,1		looking 24:24	mention 29:10	motions 20:18
7:12,22 8:3,15	L	looks 13:10	mentioned	20:21,24 29:4
8:19,22 9:2,8	L 2:3 6:8 32:3	lot 14:20 18:17	10:15 13:2	move 13:11 31:5
9:14,18,22	32:19	25:7 28:6 31:8	merit 21:8	moving 25:17
10:1,6,8 11:11	language 28:17	lthompson@t	Mexico 1:11	multiple 27:21
11:20 13:25	large 27:17	2:23	2:15,17 3:3,7,8	mute 8:5 14:11
14:6,7,10,16	Las 4:18 5:6	Luis 3:1 7:18	4:9,18,24 5:8	muted 14:1
15:11 16:18,23	8:22,24 9:1	luis@roblesra	5:11,14,16,19	
17:5,9 19:22	last-minute	3:4	6:4 7:5,13,16	N
20:12 23:13	31:12		9:18,21,23	N 2:1
24:23 25:21	law 3:13 4:12,17	M	10:11 16:19	N.W 4:8
26:23 27:1,4	5:12 17:11,15	M 2:1,20	29:3 30:24	Najjar 4:1 8:11
27:23 28:25	Lawrence 2:12	magically 18:20	Michael 1:15	nature 20:25
29:21 30:7,14	layout 13:2	Main 4:18	2:21 7:17	necessary 24:20
30:17 31:2,19	lead 24:23	major 26:3	microphone 8:5	29:11
judges 16:1	leave 25:22	making 22:23	14:2	need 13:23 21:2
Judith 4:1	lengthy 28:2	Mall 2:6	microphones	21:4 24:1
judith.colema	let's 7:6 22:19	man 20:10	12:5	25:22 29:22
4:4	22:20	Maria 4:7 9:5	middle 25:2	31:13
Judy 8:12	lies 10:24	marked 26:6	Miller 9:16	needs 28:24
July 1:14 27:10	lifted 29:15	Marquette 3:2	mind 25:12	29:14,16
jump 15:12	limine 20:18,20	mask 15:18,20	mine 14:13	nervous 18:4
Justice 3:19 4:2	20:21 29:4	masked 17:24	minimum 12:15	New 1:11 2:15
8:12	limit 18:8 20:16	19:9,10	minute 13:20	2:17 3:3,7,8
jwechsler@m	25:16	masks 15:1	minutes 31:7	4:9,18,24 5:8
2:18	Lincoln 2:22	MASTER 1:15	missed 10:6	5:11,14,16,19
	line 8:11	matter 7:3 15:17	Missouri 16:3	6:4 7:4,12,16
K	lingering 13:15	16:6	mistake 22:12	9:18,21,22
KERY 5:18	link 10:24 13:5,7	MAX 4:12	mkopp@trout	10:11 16:18
Khoury 3:6 7:16	13:8,9 20:15	MCREA 6:3	2:24	29:3 30:24
kicked 22:11,17	20:16	mean 15:4,16	mobrien@mo	news 15:4
kin 32:13	Lisa 2:20 7:17	17:1	4:10	night 31:5
kind 12:11,19	list 15:12 21:9	Melloy 1:15 7:1	modify 25:19	non-techy 18:19
18:23,23 28:4	22:9,10,17	7:1,12,22 8:3	MODRALL 4:8	normal 30:12
Klahn 2:11 7:10	25:1 26:6	8:15,19,22 9:2	monitor 22:8	North 6:3
knew 24:12	28:15,22 29:9	9:8,14,18,22	monitors 10:21	Northern 13:3
know 10:19	29:17	10:1,6,8 11:11	Montgomery	18:15
11:17 12:1,18	little 18:4 19:6	11:20 13:25	2:16 7:15	number 18:14
15:2,21,25	LLC 4:17 5:12	14:6,7,10,16	month 15:9	25:16
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

numbers 18:5	P 2:1,1	28:12	nropodinas	rdoitchman@
NW 3:2	·		proceedings 22:1 31:21	rdeitchman@ 2:9
IN VV 3:2	P.A 4:8,23 5:7	plan 31:10		· ·
0	5:18 P.C 3:2	planning 15:7	32:8	reactions 11:9
$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$ 2:1		16:14 17:6	process 24:7	read 15:4 18:5
O'Brien 4:7 9:4	p.m 1:16 31:21	18:2 21:20,21	produce 28:15	reads 21:1
9:5	pages 32:6	24:21 31:3	production	real 28:14
object 30:9	painless 29:18	plans 21:23	28:18	realistically
objection 25:5	paralegals 8:13	plowing 28:4	progresses 24:21	18:13 20:15
26:24 27:3,15	part 17:23	point 19:7 23:18	proof 15:21,23	really 18:8 24:3
29:7 30:10	participant 12:3	25:25 29:9	19:11	25:1
objections 26:3	participants	points 17:2	properties 17:21	reason 14:1,12
26:18 27:20	11:22 12:2	position 26:20	proposal 24:25	18:25 22:12
obviate 23:14	participate 12:5	27:2,23	proposed 26:6	recall 19:4
	22:18	possible 18:7	protection 29:24	receive 27:9
obviously 17:1 occurs 25:3	participating	20:17 29:19	protective 29:12	received 15:15
occurs 25:3 offer 18:14	22:24	Post 3:8 4:2,13	29:15,23,25	26:1
offered 24:15	particularly	4:23 5:8,13,18	30:5	receiving 10:19
office 3:7,8 4:2	31:6	practical 15:17	protocols 12:14	reciprocate
,	parties 12:10	prejudice 28:23	14:19	23:22
4:12,13,23 5:8	18:8,9 22:4	prepare 27:19	provide 28:21	recitation 28:7
5:13,18 7:17	23:6,8 24:2,15	30:25	pull 23:2	Reclamation
7:21 8:2 12:13	24:19 25:1	prepared 27:13	purely 24:21	9:15 17:21
12:16,22 15:14	32:10,14	preparing 27:15	purposes 24:10	recognize 10:19
32:16	parties' 25:22	Preston 3:13	24:22	record 28:10
Ogaz 3:7 7:16	Paseo 2:17	7:25	put 8:5 11:8	refer 11:7
oh 22:20	Paso 4:6 5:1	preston.hartm	12:20 18:11,11	references 27:5
Okay 7:12 9:8	8:19,21 9:2,5	3:16	18:15 20:2	refine 28:19
9:18 13:11,20	18:3 31:4,4,8	presume 23:21	putting 23:8	refined 28:22
16:18 17:9	pass 12:21	pretrial 18:2,7		reflected 28:10
31:2	pecan 5:11 9:18	18:13 19:19	Q	reflecting 26:21
old 20:3,10	9:21	20:13,18,23	quality 11:1	regarding 11:14
Olsen 6:2,3 10:3	pen 28:11	21:10,18	22:25	Registration
10:4	people 10:18,22	pretty 18:16	question 11:14	32:23
operated 11:16	11:6,21,24	30:18	14:15 28:14	regular 32:10,12
order 23:18,20	14:11,20 15:2	preview 23:20	questions 12:7	relying 15:24
23:22 24:1,13	16:8,10,11,12	previous 26:16	18:10 21:24	remaining 13:22
29:12,15,23,25	16:14,16 17:10	print 23:2	31:9	remarkable
30:1,5,11	18:6 20:17	probably 12:25	quick 26:8	18:17
ordering 25:8	21:22 22:16	16:25 20:5,7	R	remote 1:14
organization	24:8 25:16	21:17 22:4	$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{R}}$ 2:1,1 4:17	12:2 21:25
16:13	Peralta 2:17	26:21 31:4	R 2:1,1 4:17 Rael 3:2 7:19	remotely 11:6
Original 1:3 7:4	person 17:2	problem 10:20	raise 14:4 25:25	11:24 22:25
outside 17:22	personally 14:23	22:3 23:11	raise 14:4 25:25 raised 24:2	Renea 4:12,12
overall 24:11	perspective	problems 28:6		9:6
overhead 10:22	10:12 16:13	procedural 28:7	raises 23:16	Reporter 6:7
P	29:11	procedure 29:18	Raley 2:21 7:18	32:4
	picture 13:7	29:19	RAPIDS 1:16	Reporters 6:8
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı

32:23	S 2:1,5 5:3	Shorthand 32:4	spending 25:7	3:2,19 4:18 5:3
Reporting 18:16	Sacramento 2:6	show 12:7 21:8	spent 18:17	6:9 32:24
require 15:21	Samantha 4:17	Similarly 17:21	SPERLING 4:8	support 28:16
19:11	9:11	SIMMONS 2:5	spots 16:2	supporting 29:4
required 15:4,18	samantha@h	2:11	spread 16:10	supposed 11:15
requiring 15:23	4:19	simply 28:8	ssomach@so	Supreme 1:6 7:3
resolve 29:1	Santa 2:17 3:8	29:16	2:7	sure 15:7 19:16
resolved 13:23	4:24 5:8,19	SISK 4:8	staff 18:15 27:6	26:19 28:10
27:7	Sarah 2:11 7:10	site 13:13,24	standpoint	29:18 30:20
resources 18:23	saw 26:19	14:15,18,19	15:14	Susan 3:1 7:18
respect 28:12	saying 26:10	15:8 16:5,14	start 7:2,6	susan@robles
response 9:17	scheduling 24:7	19:23 31:11	started 7:2	3:4
10:7,17 11:10	24:10	sites 17:19	12:24	suspect 25:9
28:11 30:16	scope 14:18	situation 13:19	starting 15:10	switches 18:20
31:18	Scott 8:1	16:2 19:24	State 1:9,11,11	system 10:14
responses 20:24	screen 22:15	six 17:10,12,14	2:2,15 3:11	15:24
reviewing 27:14	23:2,2	sklahn@soma	5:16 7:4,4,5,9	systems 10:14
Rheiner 8:1	SE 1:16	2:13	7:24 9:23 32:4	
rhicks@renea	seal 29:5,7,12,16	small 14:20	stated 32:5	T
4:14	32:16	Somach 2:3,5,11	states 1:6,15	T 2:1 5:12
rhoffman@so	second 23:2	7:7,8,9 14:3,14	3:17 7:3,5 8:4	take 12:24 13:7
2:8	see 10:23 11:4	14:17 15:13	8:7,10 12:13	18:1 19:20
Rich 7:10	11:16,23,25	16:13,16 19:12	12:15 16:4,4	21:3,20 25:9
Richard 2:5	12:6,6 13:2	19:13 20:9	16:23 26:20,23	27:7 28:17,21
Richardson 6:3	15:4,5 18:25	23:11 25:4,5	status 12:18	taken 32:11
right 10:8 13:25	19:15,21,24	27:24,25 29:8	32:8,11	talk 18:12 22:20
14:10,10 16:5	20:6 22:19	30:3	stay 31:4	22:21 24:4,6
19:10 20:12	29:5 30:20	somebody 22:9	Stein 4:23 5:7,7	30:18 31:13,17
23:16,25 24:7	31:12	22:12,13 25:23	8:23,24	talked 12:9
25:10,21 30:4	seen 28:4	somewhat 15:22	Steinbrecher 8:1	20:14 21:11
30:17 31:19	sense 24:11,12	soon 30:11 31:1	step 18:1	25:13,14
Rio 6:1 10:1	separate 21:4	Sorry 13:21	stipulated 21:12	talking 17:10
rising 18:5	September	16:24	21:14	25:7
risk 20:2	15:10 32:17	sort 17:2 22:6	stipulations	tally 26:8
Road 5:13	serious 20:1	24:12	21:11,12	tbarfield@so
Robert 2:4 7:11	service 29:17	sounds 23:12	Stream 7:20	2:8
Robles 3:1,2	set 18:23 19:22	28:25	Street 2:12,22	team 30:23
7:18,19	31:10	South 4:18	3:19 4:8 6:9	technician 11:19
ROEHL 4:8	Seth 8:12	Southern 6:1	strongly 18:6	technology
room 12:21	SEVENTH 1:15	10:1	Stuart 2:3 7:9	10:16,25 13:6
rooms 12:10	share 22:25 23:9	space 14:20	25:4	13:6
24:9 31:5	24:14,15 25:1	spaces 12:8,22	studied 20:22	tell 26:10
Roswell 6:4	shared 11:8	speak 17:15 23:4	study 26:2	tentatively 12:9
RPR 32:19	sharing 23:9	speaking 8:4	stuff 30:5	terms 14:21
run 22:6	25:12	14:5,11	subject 25:6	25:13,14 28:2
	she'll 17:20	SPECIAL 1:15	suggest 21:17	Tessa 5:12 9:21
S	Shelly 7:20	specific 28:16	Suite 2:6,12,22	testify 21:7
		<u> </u>	l	l

testifying 14:25	25:17 28:4	23:19 24:19	16:6,15 19:23	Website 13:3,4,5
testimony 21:6	32:8	26:2,5,9 27:18	31:11	Wechsler 2:16
testing 15:3	today 9:7,12	28:5 29:22	visit-related	7:14,15 13:12
Texas 1:9 2:2	11:4 24:4 29:3	31:6	14:15	13:14,22 14:8
4:13 5:3 6:9	topic 15:12	understanding	volunteered	16:20 18:10
7:4,7,9 10:12	topics 13:11	16:21 23:7	24:14	22:2,22 23:5
12:16 16:1,2,3	20:7	25:6,19 26:18	XX 7	23:16,19,25
18:5,22 19:24	total 28:11	Unfortunately	W	24:25 25:25
23:20 26:4,5	tour 13:24 16:25	12:11	W 5:17	26:14 27:8
26:16 27:10	17:4,16 30:21	United 1:6,15	wait 19:15	30:8,10,19,22
29:24 30:24	transcript 1:14	3:17 7:3,5 8:4	waiving 29:24	Wechsler's 28:5
32:4	32:7	8:7,10 12:12	30:4 Wallace 3:12	Wednesday 18:3
Texas' 26:18	transportation	12:15 16:23	7:22,23,24	31:11
27:12	14:21	26:20,23	11:13,14 17:5	week 19:23 20:6
thank 8:6 9:25	travel 17:13	universe 29:13	17:7 27:2,3	26:17
10:8 31:20	21:22	University 5:16	want 12:17 13:2	weeks 26:16
Theresa 2:4 7:10	treasurer/man	9:23	16:11 19:23,25	went 10:13 22:6
thing 22:21 28:1	9:13	unvaccinated	20:2,3,3,5 22:4	Weslayan 6:9 32:24
28:3 30:19	trial 15:9 17:25	15:3	22:21 23:4	_ :
things 15:5 20:11,13 21:12	19:6,16,20 21:25 24:21	up-to-date 13:17	28:3,7,9,20,23	Western 18:22 Widmer 8:25
24:9,20 27:21	25:20 26:11	Utility 4:21 8:16	30:8,14 31:5	willing 29:14
29:9 30:18	27:15	Utton 5:17,18	31:17	witness 21:9
think 10:13	tried 15:22	9:22,24	wanted 11:19	25:9 26:7
12:25 13:16,19	Trout 2:21 7:18	9.22,24	30:18	witnesses 21:15
14:12 16:3,7,9	true 32:6,7	\mathbf{V}	Washington 4:3	23:19,21,23
16:24 17:14,16	try 28:5	v 1:10 3:13	wasn't 15:7	24:11 25:8
18:13,19,21	trying 24:8,9	vaccinated	watching 11:6	27:19
19:14 20:5,5	28:13	15:18,19 16:8	Water 4:6,21	work 12:15
20:14 22:1	ttd@tessadavi	16:11,17 17:1	8:16,18 9:2,5	18:24
23:7 25:3,15	5:15	17:16,23 19:8	way 11:1,17,21	worked 10:14
26:8 27:22	turn 8:3 13:12	19:9	15:5 17:13	working 11:3
29:21 31:10	23:16	vaccination 15:2	26:3 28:23	14:24 26:15
thinking 24:18	turned 12:1	15:21,24 19:11	29:15	27:13 30:24
Thompson 2:20	18:18,21	van 16:22 17:10	we'll 13:11 20:7	works 11:21
7:17	two 16:3,16	17:12,12	20:19 25:9,10	world 19:21
thought 24:4	18:10 26:3	various 12:10	25:11,18 28:17	Worldwide 6:8
three 16:4	TX 32:24	27:21	28:17,17,18	11:18 18:16
throw 18:20	type 11:8	vehicle 16:21	29:20 30:12,25	22:7,15 32:23
Thursday 31:11		vehicles 14:22	31:8,20	worried 19:6
tied 10:14	U H G 2 10 4 2	ventilator 20:4	we're 10:23	worry 20:10
Tiedemann	U.S 3:19 4:2	versus 7:4	12:20 17:10,15	W
10:10,11 12:8	12:15,22	video 18:14	17:21 24:7,13	X X
12:24	ultimately 21:1	20:15 22:3,8	27:13,13 29:13	X 26:7
time 18:17 19:16	uncertain 11:15	viewing 11:24	we've 24:15 25:6	Y
19:20 20:20	understand	visit 13:13 14:18	25:14 wear 15:18,19	Yeah 17:7 23:25
24:16,24 25:7	11:20 15:17	14:20 15:8		I VAGIN I / · / / · / ·

			Page	
27:3	2240 5:13	720 2:13 3:15		
years 20:3	235 6:9 32:24	73 20:3		
yesterday 22:6	2386 5:18	745-1101 6:10		
yesterday 22.0	242-2228 3:3	7611 4:2		
- Z	27 1:14	77027 6:9 32:24		
Zach 7:16	2701 2:12	78703 4:13		
Zachary 3:7	279-7868 2:13	78746 5:3		
zogaz@nmag	213-1000 2.13	792-3636 5:14		
3:10	3	752-3030 3.14 7th 3:14 32:17		
Zoom 10:25	300 5:3	7 ttl 3.14 32.17		
11:2 18:23	3000 6:9 32:24	8		
21:18	303 2:23 3:20	800 6:10		
	303187 4:13	800-745-1101		
0	325 2:17	32:25		
04-30-22 32:20	329-4672 3:9	80202 3:20		
	370 3:19	80203 2:22 3:14		
1	3711 5:3	80205 2:12		
1 4:6 9:3,6,15		811 27:11		
1000 2:6	4	8262 32:20		
1100 4:18	4 26:16	844-1375 3:20		
111 1:15 27:11	4:30 21:19	848-1800 4:9		
1120 2:22	4206 5:13	861-1963 2:23		
113 2:12	446-7979 2:7	87048 5:14		
1300 3:14	45 31:7	87102 3:3		
13th 15:10	472-8021 5:4	87103 4:9		
141 1:3 7:4	480-8231 4:14	87501 2:17 3:8		
15-passenger		87504 4:24 5:8		
16:21	5	5:19		
1508 3:8	5 25:25 26:15	88005 4:18		
1600 2:22	50 31:7	88202 6:4		
185 26:8	500 2:6 3:2 4:8			
18th 3:19 25:2	505 2:18 3:3,9	9		
25:11 28:19	4:9,24 5:9,14	916 2:7		
	5:19	95814 2:6		
2 22 10	508-6281 3:15	983-3880 4:24		
2 23:18	512 4:14 5:4	5:9		
2:00 21:16,17,21	514-3553 4:3	986-2637 2:18		
2:01 1:16	52401 1:16	999 3:19		
2:30 21:22	575 4:19 6:4			
2:39 31:21				
20 4:18	6			
20044 4:3	604 6:3			
202 4:3	624-2463 6:4			
2021 1:14 32:17	636-2377 4:19			
2067 4:23 5:8	699-1445 5:19			
21st 27:10	7			
223 32:23	700 3:2			